

Contents

- Automatic Train Operation (ATO) on London Underground
- Capacity Challenges
- Rail Damage associated with ATO
 - Squat Type Defects
 - Corrugation





Worlds first ATO railway 1969



https://www.ltmuseum.co.uk/collections/collections-online/photographs/item/2011-11258

https://www.ltmuseum.co.uk/collections/collections-online/photographs/item/2011-11258







ATO on London Underground

- Victoria Line 1969 (upgraded 2011)
- Central Line (incl W&C) 2000
- Jubilee Line 2011
- Northern Line 2014
- District, Hammersmith & City, Circle, Metropolitan, 2019-
- Bakerloo & Piccadilly?





Inherent Infrastructure Challenges







Speed isn't everything....







WRI 2022

Capacity Conundrum

- How can't we increase capacity?
 - Bigger Trains: Need bigger tunnels
 - Longer Trains: Need new platforms in tunnels
 - Faster Trains: A bit faster....but inherent geometry limiting
- How can we increase capacity?
 - Run more trains, closer together
 - Inter station lengths short, acceleration and braking more important
 - More trains = Greater Tonnages





ATO = Tonnage Increase







55% is Open









Squat Type Defects

- Open sections
- AC Traction Systems
- Concentrated in areas of congestion, rarely in platforms
- Martensitic layer present above cracks
- Explosion in numbers found post ATO commissioning
- 4000 3500 3000 PIC NOR 2500 JUB දි වී 2000 W&C VIC . MET 1500 H&C DIS 1000 CEN BAK 500 0 09/10 10/11 11/12 12/13 13/14 14/15 15/16 16/17 17/18 18/19 19/20

• Why?

1.Studs: A squat type defect in rails
Grassie, S.L., Fletcher, D.I., Gallardo Hernandez, E.A & Summers, P.
Proc. IMechE Vol 226F, p. 243 – 256,
Part F: J. Rail and Rapid Transit, 2012.

Simulation study of thermally intitiated rail defects
 Scott, D, Fletcher, D.I. & Cardwell B.J.
 Proc. IMechE Vol 228(2)F, p. 113 – 127,
 Part F: J. Rail and Rapid Transit, 2012.







Squat Type Defects

- Not the same as conventional squats¹
- Need low adhesion conditions on railhead, rarely found in tunnels²
- Low speed slip recovery in 'damp' conditions, not 'contaminated' adhesion conditions²
- Simulations show capable of producing Tγ in excess of 2200J/m, capable of producing temperatures greater than 727°C to produce martensite²
- Manual driving much better at coping with low adhesion, not necessarily at maintaining timetables hence ATO.
- Best option is to re-design Wheel Slip Protection (S-Stock), however not a quick fix.....



RAIL TRANSIT SEMINAR · JUNE 21





WRI 2022

Standard Changes

Standard Category 1								
	Rail Defect Management							
				Table 1: Minimum Action Codes (MACs)				
Table 12: Minimum actions for a transverse defect (potentially from a squat, squat-type, wheelburn,				N	IAC	Minimum action to be taken		
shelling defect) in the head of the rail (code: 127, 129, 227, 211, 2291, 2292)					Impose 20mph Emergency Speed Restriction (ESR) (≤22mph for Automatic Train Operations			
Defect description	Defect size	Position	Minimum Action	1		(ATO) lines) and fit emergency clamped fishplates		
Transverse defect	≤50mm long, horizontal or down turning crack 1 to 10mm deep	Outside FPL	3M*			(Note 1. Refer to section 3.10 where it is not possib	le to fit clamps)	
		Within FPL	3M*	2		Fit emergency clamped fishplates		
Transverse defect	≤50mm long, horizontal or down turning crack >10 to 15mm deep	Outside FPL	2C	3		No emergency action required		
		Within FPL	3B	6		Impose 10mph ESR (≤11mph for ATO lines) and fit emergency clamped fishplates		
Transverse defect	≤50mm long, horizontal or down turning crack >15mm deep	Outside EPI	28	5		(refer to clause 3.10 where it is not possible to fit cl	amps)	
		Within FPI	14		A	Remove defect	within 48 hours	
Transverse defect	>50mm long, horizontal or down turning crack 1 to 10mm deep	Outside EPI	30		В	Remove defect	within 7 days	
		Within FPL	3C		С	Remove defect	within 13 weeks (recommended within	
Transverse defect	>50mm long, horizontal or down turning crack >10 to 15mm deep	Outside FPL	2C			Make testable and retest using any approved		
		Within FPL	1A		G	NDT method (note 2)	within 7 days	
Transverse defect	>50mm long, horizontal or down turning crack >15mm deep	Outside FPL	2A		M	Retest (URFD) / Monitor	to specified frequency of UIIP	
		Within FPL	1A		R	Refer to RSCM data results (note 3)	within 7 days	
* Where bolt holes cannot be assessed, the minimum action shall be upgraded to a 3G.					U	Re-profile, grind, weld repair or remove	7 days prior to next URFD inspection	
					Y	Re-inspect (visual)	twice weekly	

- Rarely grow
- Ultrasonic Interval set by tonnage/defects
- Allows more time for them to be removed if required.....
- Multiple by re-railing or headwash welding process approved



Preventative Grinding







Thunderground!



Thunderground: noisy Northern line sparks most **Tube complaints**

Joe Talora

THE Northern line has been labelled the Tube's noisiest after being the subject of more complaints from people living nearby than any other in the past four years.

residential noise and vibration complaints about the Northern line between 2018 and February 2022, new figures show The Victoria line saw only slightly fewer noise and vibration complaints (252) in that time.

138 complaints, followed by the Jubilee line, on 108, despite it being one of the newest lines on the network.

Noise has been less of an issue on the Bakerloo and Metropolitan lines, with

17 and 28 complaints respectively. The figures were revealed by Mayor Sadiq Khan following a written question from Lib Dem London Assembly

Member Caroline Pidgeon about noise pollution on the Underground. Mr Khan said TfL "understands the importance of minimising noise levels

for neighbours, customers and staff" and revealed that 15,000 metres of noise is a significant issue." rail-grinding work has been carried out in the past six months to smooth corrugated rails which are the "principal cause" of track noise.

have been made about Northern line exceeding World Health Organisation noise over the past four years, though

there were 58 complaints in July 2018. The following year, Tube drivers on the Northern line threatened industrial action over noise levels which were compared to those of a rock concert. Levels of up to 109.5 decibels were recorded, with the issue said to be worst Transport for London received 282 on the High Barnet branch between Euston and Tufnell Park.

Drivers' union Aslef said in 2019 that a track fastening system known as Pandrol Vanguard was to blame for high levels of noise. Mr Khan revealed last week that TfL has "successfully trialled" Third worst was the District line with the replacement of Pandrol Vanguard

> 282 Complaints about noise and vibration on the Northern line between 2018 and February

track fastenings on the Jubilee line, with initial results showing a reduction of in-carriage noise. He said: "TfL is now prioritising the replacement of Pandrol Vanguard in areas where in-carriage

Residents of the Barbican, above the Circle, Hammersmith & City and Metropolitan lines, said in 2019 that noise levels of up to 53 decibels were An average of six complaints a month recorded in some of their homes, recommended limits







Corrugation

P2 Resonance



Pinned-Pinned







Corrugation



Rutting



Trackform Specific





A Constant Frequency Phenomena¹⁷







A Constant Frequency Phenomena¹⁸









Wearing out λ with Δv







Speed Distribution



- Max Speed 80kph
- Curvatures c. 500m
- Tonnage Constant
- NTF15 with retrofit Pandrol Vanguard
- 50mm @ 80kph = 444Hz
- Pinned-pinned spacing c. 1m







Roughness Growth



RAIL TRANSIT SEMINAR · JUNE 21



WRI 2022

Roughness Growth



ATO = No Coasting









Traction Destroys Curving









Not just rails that suffer...









Long Term Trends

N086/NNBFA - PLT3 CAMDEN TOWN TO PLT1 KENTISH TOWN









Conclusions

- ATO = Consistent Speeds Corrugation Growth Rates





